arXiv:1209.6132v1 [math.QA] 27 Sep 2012

A COMMUTANT REALIZATION OF ODAKE'S ALGEBRA

THOMAS CREUTZIG AND ANDREW R. LINSHAW

ABSTRACT. The $bc\beta\gamma$-system $\mathcal{W}$ of rank 3 has an action of the affine vertex algebra $V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and the commutant vertex algebra $\mathcal{C} = \text{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \mathcal{W})$ contains copies of $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and Odake's algebra $\mathcal{O}$. Odake's algebra is an extension of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra with $c = 9$, and is generated by eight fields which close nonlinearly under operator product expansions. Our main result is that $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $\mathcal{O}$ form a Howe pair (i.e., a pair of mutual commutants) inside $\mathcal{C}$. More generally, any finite-dimensional representation of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to a similar Howe pair, and this example corresponds to the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertex algebra, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$. The *commutant* of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, denoted by $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$, is the subalgebra consisting of all elements $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $[a(z), v(w)] = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. This construction was introduced by Frenkel-Zhu in [FZ], generalizing earlier constructions in representation theory [KP] and physics [GKO], and is important in the construction of coset conformal field theories. Many interesting vertex algebras have commutant realizations. For example, the Zamolodchikov $\mathcal{W}_3$-algebra with central charge $c = -2$ can be realized as the commutant of the Heisenberg algebra inside the $\beta\gamma$-system [Wa]. The Feigin-Semikhatov algebra $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_n$ at critical level can be realized as the commutant of $V_0(\mathfrak{psl}(n|n))$ inside the $bc\beta\gamma$-system of rank n^2 for $n \leq 4$, and conjecturally for all n [CGL]. The orbifold vertex algebra $M(1)^+$ can be realized as the commutant of the affine vertex algebra $L_{C^{(1)}_l}(-\Lambda_0)$ inside the tensor product of two copies of $L_{C^{(1)}_l}(-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0)$ [AP]. Here $M(1)^+$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-invariant subalgebra of the rank l Heisenberg algebra, which is an important building block of the orbifold vertex algebra V^+_L for any lattice L of rank l. In general, commutants are difficult to study and there are very few examples where an exhaustive description can be given in terms of generators, operator product expansions, and normally ordered polynomial relations among the generators. It is also natural to study the double commutant $\text{Com}(\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$, which always contains $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \text{Com}(\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ form a *Howe pair* inside $\mathcal{V}$.

We begin with a simple, finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a finite-dimensional linear representation V of $\mathfrak{g}$, via $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \text{End}(V)$. Associated to ρ is the bilinear form $B(\xi, \eta) = \text{tr}_V(\rho(\xi)\rho(\eta))$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. There is a natural action of the affine vertex algebra $V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ on the bc-system $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(V)$ associated to V, and an analogous action of $V_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ on the $\beta\gamma$-system $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$. These combine to give an action of the level zero affine vertex algebra $V_0(\mathfrak{g})$ on the $bc\beta\gamma$-system $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{S}$. Let $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$, and $\Theta_{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the images of $V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B)$, $V_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}, B)$,

---

Key words and phrases. vertex algebra; commutant; extended superconformal algebra; Howe pair.

We thank R. Heluani for interesting discussions and for suggesting that Odake's algebra should appear inside the vertex algebra $\text{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \mathcal{W})$.

and $V_0(\mathfrak{g})$ inside $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{W}$, respectively. The commutants $\text{Com}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E}},\mathcal{E})$, $\text{Com}(\Theta_{\mathcal{S}},\mathcal{S})$, and $\text{Com}(\Theta_{\mathcal{W}},\mathcal{W})$, coincide with the invariant spaces $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}\subset\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, we may define

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)=\text{Com}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]},\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}). \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}\subset\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)$, but in general $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)$ is larger than $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and contains fields that depend on the generators $\beta^x,\gamma^{x'}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. We establish some basic properties of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)$, and in particular we show that $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)$ always form a Howe pair inside $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$.

In the case where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and V is the adjoint module $\mathbb{C}^3$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ are isomorphic to $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and a rank-one lattice vertex algebra, respectively. Our main result is that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2,\mathbb{C}^3)$ is isomorphic to Odake's algebra $\mathcal{O}$. This algebra appeared first in the context of superstring theory. The target space of a ten-dimensional superstring is $\mathbb{R}^4\times X$, where X is a three dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold. The sigma model of such a Calabi-Yau manifold has $N=(2,2)$ superconformal symmetry, and due to Ricci-flatness an additional symmetry associated to the holomorphic $(3,0)$ and anti-holomorphic $(0,3)$ forms. The main result of [OI] is that the underlying conformal field theory is two copies of $\mathcal{O}$. The algebra $\mathcal{O}$ is an extension of the $N=2$ superconformal algebra with central charge $c=9$, and is strongly generated by a $U(1)$ current F, a Virasoro element L, four primary fields $G,\bar{G},X,\bar{X}$ of weight $\frac{3}{2}$, and two primary fields $Y,\bar{Y}$ of weight 2.

It is interesting to study the vertex algebras $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)$ for any $\mathfrak{g}$ and V, and it appears that they have nice structures in general. In the last section, we identify these algebras in the case where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $V=\mathbb{C}^2$. We will see that $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$, and $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ are isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra, the irreducible affine vertex algebra $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and a homomorphic image of $V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2|1))$, respectively. Finally, $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2,\mathbb{C}^2)$ is isomorphic to $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes\mathcal{W}_{3,-2}$, where $\mathcal{W}_{3,-2}$ is the Zamolodchikov $\mathcal{W}_3$-algebra with $c=-2$.

2. VERTEX ALGEBRAS

In this section, we define vertex algebras, which have been discussed from various different points of view in the literature (see for example [B][FLM][K][FBZ]). We will follow the formalism developed in [LZ] and partly in [LiI]. Let $V=V_0\oplus V_1$ be a super vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, and let z,w be formal variables. By $\text{QO}(V)$, we mean the space of all linear maps

$$V\to V((z)):=\{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}v(n)z^{-n-1}|v(n)\in V,\ v(n)=0\text{ for }n>>0\}.$$

Each element $a\in\text{QO}(V)$ can be uniquely represented as a power series

$$a=a(z):=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a(n)z^{-n-1}\in\text{End}(V)[[z,z^{-1}]].$$

We refer to $a(n)$ as the nth Fourier mode of $a(z)$. Each $a\in\text{QO}(V)$ is assumed to be of the shape $a=a_0+a_1$ where $a_i:V_j\to V_{i+j}((z))$ for $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and we write $|a_i|=i$.

On $\text{QO}(V)$ there is a set of nonassociative bilinear operations $\circ_n$, indexed by $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, which we call the nth circle products. For homogeneous $a,b\in\text{QO}(V)$, they are defined by

$$a(w)\circ_n b(w)=\text{Res}_z a(z)b(w)\ \iota_{|z|>|w|}(z-w)^n-(-1)^{|a||b|}\text{Res}_z b(w)a(z)\ \iota_{|w|>|z|}(z-w)^n.$$

Here $\iota_{|z|>|w|}f(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}[[z,z^{-1},w,w^{-1}]]$ denotes the power series expansion of a rational function f in the region $|z| > |w|$. We usually omit the symbol $\iota_{|z|>|w|}$ and just write $(z-w)^{-1}$ to mean the expansion in the region $|z| > |w|$, and write $-(w-z)^{-1}$ to mean the expansion in $|w| > |z|$. It is easy to check that $a(w) \circ_n b(w)$ above is a well-defined element of QO(V).

The nonnegative circle products are connected through the *operator product expansion* (OPE) formula. For $a, b \in$ QO(V), we have

$$a(z)b(w) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a(w) \circ_n b(w)\ (z-w)^{-n-1} + : a(z)b(w) :, \tag{2.1}$$

which is often written as $a(z)b(w) \sim \sum_{n\geq 0} a(w) \circ_n b(w)\ (z-w)^{-n-1}$, where $\sim$ means equal modulo the term

$$: a(z)b(w) : = a(z)_- b(w) \ + \ (-1)^{|a||b|} b(w) a(z)_+.$$

Here $a(z)_- = \sum_{n<0} a(n) z^{-n-1}$ and $a(z)_+ = \sum_{n\geq 0} a(n) z^{-n-1}$. Note that $: a(w)b(w) :$ is a well-defined element of QO(V). It is called the *Wick product* of a and b, and it coincides with $a \circ_{-1} b$. The other negative circle products are related to this by

$$n!\ a(z) \circ_{-n-1} b(z) = \ : (\partial^n a(z)) b(z) : ,$$

where ∂ denotes the formal differentiation operator $\frac{d}{dz}$. For $a_1(z), \dots, a_k(z) \in$ QO(V), the k-fold iterated Wick product is defined to be

$$: a_1(z) a_2(z) \cdots a_k(z) :=: a_1(z) b(z) :,$$

where $b(z) =: a_2(z) \cdots a_k(z) :$. We often omit the formal variable z when no confusion can arise.

The set QO(V) is a nonassociative algebra with the operations $\circ_n$ and a unit 1. We have $1 \circ_n a = \delta_{n,-1} a$ for all n, and $a \circ_n 1 = \delta_{n,-1} a$ for $n \geq -1$. A linear subspace $\mathcal{A} \subset$ QO(V) containing 1 which is closed under the circle products will be called a *quantum operator algebra* (QOA). In particular $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under ∂ since $\partial a = a \circ_{-2} 1$. Many formal algebraic notions are immediately clear: a homomorphism is just a linear map that sends 1 to 1 and preserves all circle products; a module over $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space M equipped with a homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to$ QO(M), etc. A subset $S = \{a_i |\ i \in I\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to generate $\mathcal{A}$ if any element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written as a linear combination of nonassociative words in the letters a_i, $\circ_n$, for $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that S *strongly generates* $\mathcal{A}$ if any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written as a linear combination of words in the letters a_i, $\circ_n$ for $n < 0$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{A}$ is spanned by the collection $\{: \partial^{k_1} a_{i_1}(z) \cdots \partial^{k_m} a_{i_m}(z) : |\ i_1, \dots, i_m \in I,\ k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0\}$.

We say that $a, b \in$ QO(V) *quantum commute* if $(z-w)^N [a(z), b(w)] = 0$ for some $N \geq 0$. Here $[,]$ denotes the super bracket. This condition implies that $a \circ_n b = 0$ for $n \geq N$, so (2.1) becomes a finite sum. A *commutative quantum operator algebra* (CQOA) is a QOA whose elements pairwise quantum commute. Finally, the notion of a CQOA is equivalent to the notion of a vertex algebra. Every CQOA $\mathcal{A}$ is itself a faithful $\mathcal{A}$-module, called the *left regular module*. Define

$$\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \text{QO}(\mathcal{A}), \quad a \mapsto \hat{a}, \quad \hat{a}(\zeta) b = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (a \circ_n b)\ \zeta^{-n-1}.$$

Then ρ is an injective QOA homomorphism, and the quadruple of structures $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, 1, \partial)$ is a vertex algebra in the sense of [FLM]. Conversely, if $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, D)$ is a vertex algebra, the collection $Y(V) \subset \mathrm{QO}(V)$ is a CQOA. *We will refer to a CQOA simply as a vertex algebra throughout the rest of this paper.*

Example 2.1 (Affine vertex algebras)**.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional, complex Lie (super)algebra, equipped with a symmetric, invariant bilinear form B. The loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t,t^{-1}] = \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$ has a one-dimensional central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}\kappa$ determined by B, with bracket

$$[\xi t^n, \eta t^m] = [\xi,\eta]t^{n+m} + nB(\xi,\eta)\delta_{n+m,0}\kappa,$$

and $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation $\deg(\xi t^n) = n$, $\deg(\kappa) = 0$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$ where $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$ denotes the subspace of degree n, and let C be the one-dimensional $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$-module on which ξt^n acts trivially for $n\geq 0$, and κ acts by k times the identity. Define $V = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})\otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} C$, and let $X^{\xi}(n)\in\mathrm{End}(V)$ be the linear operator representing ξt^n on V. Define $X^{\xi}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} X^{\xi}(n)z^{-n-1}$, which is easily seen to lie in $\mathrm{QO}(V)$ and satisfy the OPE relation

$$X^{\xi}(z)X^{\eta}(w) \sim kB(\xi,\eta)(z-w)^{-2} + X^{[\xi,\eta]}(w)(z-w)^{-1}.$$

The vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{g},B)$ generated by $\{X^{\xi}|\ \xi\in\mathfrak{g}\}$ is known as the *universal affine vertex algebra* associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and B at level k. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple, finite-dimensional Lie algebra, we will always take B to be the normalized Killing form $\frac{1}{2h^{\vee}}\langle,\rangle_K$, and we use the notation $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$.

We recall the *Sugawara construction* for affine vertex superalgebras following [KRW]. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and that the bilinear form B is nondegenerate. Let $\{\xi\}$ and $\{\xi'\}$ be dual bases of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $B(\xi',\eta) = \delta_{\xi,\eta}$. Then the Casimir operator is $C_2 = \sum_{\xi}\xi\xi'$. The dual Coxeter number $h^{\vee}$ with respect to the bilinear form B is one half the eigenvalue of C_2 in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $k+h^{\vee}\neq 0$ then there is a Virasoro field

$$L_{Sug} = \frac{1}{2(k+h^{\vee})}\sum_{\xi} :X^{\xi}X^{\xi'}: \tag{2.2}$$

of central charge $c = \frac{k\,\mathrm{sdim}\mathfrak{g}}{k+h^{\vee}}$, which is known as the *Sugawara conformal vector*.

Example 2.2 ($\beta\gamma$ and bc systems)**.** Let V be a finite-dimensional complex vector space. The $\beta\gamma$ system or algebra of chiral differential operators $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$ was introduced in [FMS]. It is the unique vertex algebra with even generators β^x, $\gamma^{x'}$ for $x\in V$, $x'\in V^*$, which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^x(z)\gamma^{x'}(w)\sim\langle x',x\rangle(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad &&\gamma^{x'}(z)\beta^x(w)\sim-\langle x',x\rangle(z-w)^{-1},\\ &\beta^x(z)\beta^y(w)\sim 0, &&\gamma^{x'}(z)\gamma^{y'}(w)\sim 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\langle,\rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between V^* and V. We give $\mathcal{S}$ the conformal structure

$$L_{\mathcal{S}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_i}\partial\gamma^{x'_i}:, \tag{2.4}$$

of central charge $c = 2n$, under which β^{x_i}, $\gamma^{x'_i}$ are primary of weights 1, 0, respectively. Here $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ is a basis for V and $\{x'_1,\dots,x'_n\}$ is the dual basis for V^*.

Similarly, the bc system $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(V)$, which was also introduced in [FMS], is the unique vertex superalgebra with odd generators b^x, $c^{x'}$ for $x\in V$, $x'\in V^*$, which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &b^x(z)c^{x'}(w)\sim\langle x',x\rangle(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad &&c^{x'}(z)b^x(w)\sim\langle x',x\rangle(z-w)^{-1},\\ &b^x(z)b^y(w)\sim 0, &&c^{x'}(z)c^{y'}(w)\sim 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We give $\mathcal{E}$ the conformal structure

$$L_{\mathcal{E}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} : b^{x_i} \partial c^{x'_i} :, \tag{2.6}$$

of central charge $c = -2n$, under which b^{x_i}, $c^{x'_i}$ are primary of conformal weights 1 and 0, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{S}$, equipped with the combined Virasoro element

$$L_{\mathcal{W}} = L_{\mathcal{E}} + L_{\mathcal{S}} \tag{2.7}$$

of central charge $c = 0$.

Example 2.3 (Odake's algebra)**.** The Odake algebra $\mathcal{O}$ is a vertex superalgebra which is generated by eight fields $F, L, G, \bar{G}, X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$, where L is a Virasoro element of central charge 9, F is primary of weight one, $G, \bar{G}, X, \bar{X}$ are primary of weight $\frac{3}{2}$, and $Y, \bar{Y}$ are primary of weight 2 [OI]. The fields $F, G, \bar{G}, L$ generate a copy of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra with central charge $c = 9$:

$$\begin{aligned} &F(z)F(w) \sim 3(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad G(z)G(w) \sim 0, \qquad \bar{G}(z)\bar{G}(w) \sim 0, \\ &F(z)G(w) \sim G(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)\bar{G}(w) \sim -\bar{G}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &G(z)\bar{G}(w) \sim 3(z-w)^{-3} + F(w)(z-w)^{-2} + (L(w) + \frac{1}{2}\partial F(w))(z-w)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The fields $F, X, \bar{X}$ generate a rank one lattice vertex algebra

$$\begin{aligned} &F(z)X(w) \sim 3X(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)\bar{X}(w) \sim -3\bar{X}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &X(z)\bar{X}(w) \sim -(z-w)^{-3} - F(w)(z-w)^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\Big(: F(w)F(w) : + \partial F(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The additional OPE relations of $F, G, \bar{G}$ with $X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &F(z)Y(w) \sim 2Y(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)\bar{Y}(w) \sim -2\bar{Y}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &G(z)X(w) \sim 0, \qquad \bar{G}(z)X(w) \sim 2Y(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &\bar{G}(z)\bar{X}(w) \sim 0, \qquad G(z)\bar{X}(w) \sim 2\bar{Y}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &G(z)Y(w) \sim \frac{3}{2}X(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\partial X(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad G(z)\bar{Y}(w) \sim 0, \\ &\bar{G}(z)\bar{Y}(w) \sim \frac{3}{2}\bar{X}(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\partial \bar{X}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad \bar{G}(z)Y(w) \sim 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The remaining OPE relations of $X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y(z)\bar{Y}(w) \sim -\frac{3}{4}(z-w)^{-4} - \frac{1}{2}F(w)(z-w)^{-3} - \\
&\quad \frac{1}{4}\Big(L(w) + \partial F(w) + \frac{1}{2} :F(w)F(w): \Big)(z-w)^{-2} + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{4}\Big(:G(w)\bar{G}(w): - :L(w)F(w): - \partial L(w) - \frac{1}{4}\partial\big(:F(w)F(w): \big)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}, \\
&X(z)\bar{Y}(w) \sim -\frac{1}{2}G(w)(z-w)^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\Big(:G(w)F(w): + \partial G(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}, \\
&\bar{X}(z)Y(w) \sim -\frac{1}{2}\bar{G}(w)(z-w)^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\Big(- :\bar{G}(w)F(w): + \partial \bar{G}(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}, \\
&X(z)Y(w) \sim 0, \qquad \bar{X}(z)\bar{Y}(w) \sim 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Finally, the following normally ordered polynomial relations hold in $\mathcal{O}$:

$$\partial X = :FX:, \qquad \partial \bar{X} = - :F\bar{X}:, \qquad :YY: = 0, \qquad :\bar{Y}\bar{Y}: = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

and all normally ordered relations are generated from these. Odake proved in [OII] that $\mathcal{O}$ is a simple vertex algebra; equivalently, the vacuum $\mathcal{O}$-module V_0 is irreducible. He decomposes V_0 into irreducible modules of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra with $c = 9$, and then it becomes obvious that the modes of $Y, \bar{Y}, X, \bar{X}$ map these modules into each other. He also computed the character of the vacuum module:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ch}[\mathcal{O}](z;q) &= \text{tr}_{V_0}(q^{L(0)} z^{F(0)}) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+zq^{n-\frac{1}{2}})(1+z^{-1}q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})}{(1-q^n)^2} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2} z^{2m} - q^{m^2+m+\frac{1}{2}} z^{2m+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

In [OI], Odake gave a free field realization of $\mathcal{O}$ inside a rank 6 Heisenberg vertex algebra with generators $\alpha_i^{\pm}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and non-regular operator products

$$\alpha_i^{+}(z)\alpha_i^{-}(w) \sim (z-w)^{-2},$$

tensored with a rank three bc-system with generators b_i, c_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and non-regular operator products

$$b_i(z)c_i(w) \sim (z-w)^{-1}.$$

The generating fields $G, \bar{G}, X, \bar{X}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ take the following simple form:

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{3} :b_i\alpha_i^{+}:, \qquad \bar{G} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} :c_i\alpha_i^{-}:, \qquad X =: b_1b_2b_3 :, \qquad \bar{X} =: c_1c_2c_3 : . \tag{2.14}$$

The commutant construction. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertex algebra and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$. The commutant of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, denoted by $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$, is the subalgebra of vertex operators $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $[a(z), v(w)] = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, $a(z) \circ_n v(z) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \geq 0$. We regard $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ as the algebra of invariants in $\mathcal{V}$ under the action of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphic image of an affine vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{g}, B)$, $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is just the invariant space $\mathcal{V}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. It is also natural to study the double commutant $\text{Com}(\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$, which always contains $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \text{Com}(\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ form a *Howe pair* inside $\mathcal{V}$. Since

$$\text{Com}(\text{Com}(\text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V}) = \text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}),$$

a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ is a member of a Howe pair if and only if $\mathcal{B} = \text{Com}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ for some $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple, finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra and V be an n-dimensional linear representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ via $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \text{End}(V)$. Associated to ρ is the bilinear form $B(\xi, \eta) = \text{tr}_V(\rho(\xi)\rho(\eta))$. There is a vertex algebra homomorphism

$$\tau_{\mathcal{E}} : V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B) \to \mathcal{E}, \qquad \tau_{\mathcal{E}}(X^{\xi}) = \Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} : b^{\rho(\xi)(x_i)} c^{x'_i} : . \tag{2.15}$$

There is a similar homomorphim

$$\tau_{\mathcal{S}} : V_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}, B) \to \mathcal{S}, \qquad \tau_{\mathcal{S}}(X^{\xi}) = \Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{S}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} : \beta^{\rho(\xi)(x_i)} \gamma^{x'_i} : . \tag{2.16}$$

There is the combined action

$$\tau_{\mathcal{W}} : V_0(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{S}, \qquad \tau_{\mathcal{W}}(X^{\xi}) = \Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{W}} = \Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{E}} + \Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{S}}. \tag{2.17}$$

Let $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$, and $\Theta_{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the subalgebras of $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{W}$ generated by $\{\Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{E}}\}$, $\{\Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{S}}\}$, and $\{\Theta^{\xi}_{\mathcal{W}}\}$, respectively, for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. We are interested in the commutants $\text{Com}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E})$, $\text{Com}(\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{S})$, and $\text{Com}(\Theta_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{W})$, which coincide with $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, we may define

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Com}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}, \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}). \tag{2.18}$$

We will study $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ in the special cases where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and V is the standard representation $\mathbb{C}^2$ and adjoint representation $\mathbb{C}^3$.

3. SOME GENERAL FEATURES OF $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, AND $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$

First, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ possesses a Virasoro element

$$L_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}} = L_{\mathcal{E}} - \tau_{\mathcal{E}}(L_{Sug}),$$

where L_{Sug} is the Sugawara conformal vector in $V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B)$. There is also a $U(1)$-current

$$F = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} : b^{x_i} c^{x'_i} : .$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ possesses a Virasoro element

$$L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}} = L_{\mathcal{S}} - \tau_{\mathcal{S}}(L_{Sug})$$

where L_{Sug} is the Sugawara vector in $V_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}, B)$, which exists unless $-B$ is the critical level bilinear form. There is also a $U(1)$ current

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} : \beta^{x_i} \gamma^{x'_i} : .$$

If V possesses a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant, symmetric bilinear form, we may choose a corresponding orthonormal basis $x_1, \dots, x_n$ for V and dual basis $x'_1, \dots, x'_n$ for V^*. Then H is part of an action of $V_{-n/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on the $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} X^h &\mapsto v^h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_i}\gamma^{x'_i}:, \qquad X^x \mapsto v^x = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} :\gamma^{x'_i}\gamma^{x'_i}:, \\ X^y &\mapsto v^y = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_i}\beta^{x_i}: . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The generators X^x, X^y, X^h for $V_{-n/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are in the usual root basis x, y, h with commutation relations

$$[x,y]=h, \qquad [h,x]=2x, \qquad [h,y]=-2y.$$

Finally, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ has a Virasoro element

$$L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}} = L_{\mathcal{W}} - \tau_{\mathcal{W}}(L_{Sug}).$$

If V possesses a symmetric invariant form as above, the $V_{-n/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-structure on $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ extends to an action of the affine vertex superalgebra $V_n(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$ on $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$ is generated by four even elements X, Y, H, E and four odd elements $F^{\epsilon,\epsilon'}$, where $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{\pm\}$, and nonzero relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[X,Y] = H \quad [H,X] = 2X \ , \ [H,Y] = -2Y \ , \ [E, F^{\epsilon\epsilon'}] = \epsilon' F^{\epsilon\epsilon'} \ , \\ &[H, F^{\epsilon\epsilon'}] = \epsilon F^{\epsilon\epsilon'} \ , \ [Y, F^{+\epsilon}] = -F^{-\epsilon} \ , \ [X, F^{-\epsilon}] = -F^{+\epsilon} \ , \ [F^{+-}, F^{-+}] = H + E \, , \\ &[F^{+-}, F^{++}] = 2X \ , \ [F^{--}, F^{++}] = H - E \ , \ [F^{--}, F^{-+}] = -2Y \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

A nondegenerate consistent graded-symmetric invariant bilinear form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &B(H,H) = -1 \ , \ B(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \ , \ B(E,E) = 1 \, , \\ &B(F^{+-}, F^{-+}) = -1 \ , \ B(F^{--}, F^{++}) = 1 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$ is simple and the bilinear form B is unique up to a scalar multiple. Define vertex operators

$$Q^{\beta b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_i} b^{x_i}, \quad Q^{\beta c} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_i} c^{x'_i}:, \quad Q^{\gamma b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\gamma^{x'_i} b^{x_i}:, \quad Q^{\gamma c} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\gamma^{x'_i} c^{x'_i}:,$$

which are easily seen to lie in $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. Then the $V_{-n/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ structure on $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ extends to an action of the affine vertex superalgebra $V_n(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$ on $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, where the generators are the quadratics $v^x, v^y, v^h, F, Q^{\beta b}, Q^{\beta c}, Q^{\gamma b}, Q^{\gamma c}$.

There are also a few properties of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ which are worth pointing out. First, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is always a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$. In general, $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is bigger than $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and contains fields that depend on $\beta^x, \gamma^{x'}$. There is a Virasoro element in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ given by

$$L = L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}} - L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *$Com(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, so $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ form a Howe pair inside $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \subset \mathrm{Com}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]})$. Since $L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}}$ and L are conformal structures on $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, respectively,

$$L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}} - L = L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}}$$

is a conformal structure on $\mathrm{Com}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V),\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]})$. Therefore any field in $\mathrm{Com}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V),\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]})$ cannot depend on the generators $b^x, c^{x'}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ and their derivarives. We conclude that $\mathrm{Com}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V),\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. $\square$

4. THE CASE WHERE $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ AND V IS THE ADJOINT MODULE

In this section, we consider $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g},V)$ in the case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and V is the adjoint representation $\mathbb{C}^3$. We work in the standard root basis x, y, h for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The generators of $\Theta_{\mathcal{W}}$ in this basis are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta^x_{\mathcal{W}} = \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} + \Theta^x_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad \Theta^x_{\mathcal{S}} = 2 : \beta^x\gamma^{h'} : - : \beta^h\gamma^{y'} :, \quad \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} = -2 : b^x c^{h'} : + : b^h, c^{y'} :,\\
&\Theta^y_{\mathcal{W}} = \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} + \Theta^y_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad \Theta^y_{\mathcal{S}} = -2 : \beta^y\gamma^{h'} : + : \beta^h\gamma^{x'} :, \quad \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} = 2 : b^y c^{h'} : - : b^h c^{x'} :,\\
&\Theta^h_{\mathcal{W}} = \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} + \Theta^h_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad \Theta^h_{\mathcal{S}} = -2 : \beta^x\gamma^{x'} : +2 : \beta^y\gamma^{y'} :, \quad \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} = 2 : b^x c^{x'} : -2 : b^y c^{y'} : .
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *$\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ is isomorphic to $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and is generated by*

$$\begin{aligned}
v^h &=: \beta^h\gamma^{h'} : + : \beta^x\gamma^{x'} : + : \beta^y\gamma^{y'} :,\\
v^x &= \frac{1}{2}\big(: \gamma^{h'}\gamma^{h'} : + : \gamma^{x'}\gamma^{y'} : \big),\\
v^y &= -\frac{1}{2}\big(: \beta^h\beta^h : +4 : \beta^x\beta^y : \big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

This was proven in [L]. In fact, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$ form a Howe pair inside $\mathcal{S}$ (see [LL]).

Theorem 4.2. *$\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ is a rank one lattice vertex algebra with generators*

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= - : b^h c^{h'} : - : b^x c^{x'} : - : b^y c^{y'} :,\\
C^{bbb} &=: b^x b^y b^h :,\\
C^{ccc} &=: c^{x'} c^{y'} c^{h'} : .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. We use the isomorphism between the *bc*-system and a rank one lattice vertex algebra called the Friedan-Martinec-Shenker bosonization [FMS]. Let α be a free boson, satisfying

$$\alpha(z)\alpha(w) \sim \ln(z-w)\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the bosonization of the *bc*-system is given by

$$b = \epsilon_\alpha : e^{\alpha} :, \qquad c = \epsilon_\alpha : e^{-\alpha} :, \qquad : bc := \partial\alpha\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Here ϵ_α generates a rank one Clifford algebra. Its role is to ensure the correct parity. We use bosonization to express $\mathcal{E}$ as a rank three lattice vertex algebra. Let X, Y, H be free bosons and $\epsilon_X, \epsilon_Y, \epsilon_H$ generate a rank three Clifford algebra, both corresponding to $b^x, c^{x'}, b^y, c^{y'}, b^h, c^{h'}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} &= -2\epsilon_X\epsilon_H : e^{X-H} : +\epsilon_H : \epsilon_Y e^{H-Y} : ,\\
\Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} &= 2\epsilon_Y\epsilon_H : e^{Y-H} : -\epsilon_H\epsilon_X : e^{H-X} : ,\\
\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} &= 2\partial X - 2\partial Y\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We change orthogonal bases as follows

$$a = X + Y + H, \qquad b = X + Y - 2H, \qquad c = X - Y\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Now, we see that the rank one lattice vertex algebra generated by a is in $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$, since

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} &= :e^{c/2}(-2\epsilon_X\epsilon_H e^{b/2} + \epsilon_H\epsilon_Y e^{-b/2}):\,,\\ \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} &= :e^{-c/2}(2\epsilon_Y\epsilon_H e^{b/2} - \epsilon_H\epsilon_X e^{-b/2}):\,,\\ \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} &= 2\partial c\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Further any field depending on c does not commute with $\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}}$. It remains to show that any field that depends on b does not lie in $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$. We compute the normal ordered product

$$\frac{1}{6}\big(:\Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}}\Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}}: - \frac{1}{2}\partial\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{1}{8}:\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}}\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}}:\big) = \frac{1}{12}:\partial b\partial b: = T_b\,. \tag{4.8}$$

T_b is a Virasoro field of central charge one and any field in $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ has to commute with this field. In particular, the conformal dimension needs to be zero. This is only true for fields not depending on b. □

Unfortunately, we cannot describe $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ using any of our methods. In addition to the elements v^x, v^y, v^h, F, C^{bbb} and C^{ccc} given above, the elements

$$\begin{aligned} &Q^{\gamma b} =: \gamma^{h'}b^h: + :\gamma^{x'}b^x: + :\gamma^{y'}b^y:, &&Q^{\beta b} =: \beta^h b^h: +2:\beta^x b^y: +2:\beta^y b^x:,\\ &Q^{\gamma c} =: \gamma^{h'}c^{h'}: +\frac{1}{2}:\gamma^{x'}c^{y'}: +\frac{1}{2}:\gamma^{y'}c^{x'}:, &&Q^{\beta c} =: \beta^h c^{h'}: + :\beta^x c^{x'}: + :\beta^y c^{y'}:,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

are part of the $V_3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$-structure. There are six additional elements of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ that we can write down using using Weyl's first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for $\mathfrak{so}_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} G =&: \beta^h\gamma^{x'}c^{y'}: - :\beta^h\gamma^{y'}c^{x'}: +2:\beta^x\gamma^{h'}c^{x'}: -2:\beta^x\gamma^{x'}c^{h'}: -2:\beta^y\gamma^{h'}c^{y'}: +\\ &2:\beta^y\gamma^{y'}c^{h'}: - :b^h c^{x'}c^{y'}: +2:b^x c^{x'}c^{h'}: -2:b^y c^{y'}c^{h'}:,\\ \bar{G} =& \frac{1}{2}\big(- :\beta^h\gamma^{x'}b^x: + :\beta^h\gamma^{y'}b^y: -2:\beta^x\gamma^{h'}b^y: + :\beta^x\gamma^{x'}b^h: +2:\beta^y\gamma^{h'}b^x:\big)+\\ &\frac{1}{2}\big(- :\beta^y\gamma^{y'}b^h: + :b^x b^h c^{x'}: -2:b^x b^y c^{h'}: - :b^y b^h c^{y'}:\big),\\ C^{\gamma bb} =& - :\gamma^{h'}b^x b^y: +\frac{1}{2}:\gamma^{x'}b^x b^h: -\frac{1}{2}:\gamma^{y'}b^y b^h:,\\ C^{\beta bb} =&: \beta^h b^x b^y: + :\beta^x b^y b^h: - :\beta^y b^x b^h:,\\ C^{\gamma cc} =& - :\gamma^{h'}c^{x'}c^{y'}: - :\gamma^{x'}c^{y'}c^{h'}: + :\gamma^{y'}c^{x'}c^{h'}:,\\ C^{\beta cc} =&: \beta^h c^{x'}c^{y'}: -2:\beta^x c^{x'}c^{h'}: +2:\beta^y c^{y'}c^{h'}:\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Remark 4.3. *$\mathcal{W}$ coincides with the semi-infinite Weil complex of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (see* [FF]*), and the zero mode $G(0)$ is the semi-infinite differential.*

Recall that $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ has a Virasoro element $L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} = L_{\mathcal{S}} - \tau_{\mathcal{S}}(L_{Sug})$ of central charge zero, which is given by

$$L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} = \frac{1}{2}\big(4:v^x v^y: + :v^h v^h: - \partial v^h\big) \tag{4.11}$$

of central charge zero. Note that $L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}}$ is *not* the usual Sugawara vector for $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}}(z)v^h(w) \sim 3(z-w)^{-3} + v^h(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \partial v^h(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}}(z)v^y(w) \sim 2v^y(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \partial v^y(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}}(z)v^x(w) \sim \partial v^x(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

which shows that v^x and v^y are primary of weights zero and two, and v^h is quasi-primary of weight one. With this Virasoro element, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a conformal embedding.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ generated by the above vertex operators. We conjecture that $\mathcal{A}$ is all of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$, but we are unable to prove this at present. However, we will write down all nontrivial OPEs among the generators of $\mathcal{A}$, and we will see that $\mathcal{A}$ is *strongly* generated by the above collection. We start with the operator products of the $v^x, v^y, v^h, F, Q^{\gamma b}, Q^{\beta b}, Q^{\gamma c}, Q^{\beta c}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &v^x(z)v^y(w) \sim -\frac{3}{2}(z-w)^{-2} + v^h(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^h(z)v^h(w) \sim -3(z-w)^{-2}, \\ &v^h(z)v^x(w) \sim 2v^x(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^h(z)v^y(w) \sim -2v^y(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &F(z)F(w) \sim 3(z-w)^{-2}, \\ &F(z)Q^{\gamma c}(w) \sim Q^{\gamma c}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)Q^{\gamma b}(w) \sim -Q^{\gamma b}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &F(z)Q^{\beta c}(w) \sim Q^{\beta c}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)Q^{\beta b}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta b}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &Q^{\gamma b}(z)Q^{\beta c}(w) \sim -3(z-w)^{-2} + (v^h + F)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &Q^{\beta b}(z)Q^{\gamma c}(w) \sim 3(z-w)^{-2} + (v^h - F)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &Q^{\gamma b}(z)Q^{\gamma c}(w) \sim 2v^x(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad Q^{\beta b}(z)Q^{\beta c}(w) \sim -2v^y(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &v^h(z)Q^{\gamma b}(w) \sim Q^{\gamma b}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^y(z)Q^{\gamma b}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta b}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &v^h(z)Q^{\beta b}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta b}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^x(z)Q^{\beta b}(w) \sim -Q^{\gamma b}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &v^h(z)Q^{\gamma c}(w) \sim Q^{\gamma c}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^y(z)Q^{\gamma c}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta c}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &v^h(z)Q^{\beta c}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta c}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^x(z)Q^{\beta c}(w) \sim -Q^{\gamma c}(w)(z-w)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

These fields obey the operator product relations of the affine vertex superalgebra $V_3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$. Next, the fields $C^{\gamma bb}, C^{\beta bb}, C^{bbb}, \bar{G}$ transform under $V_3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &v^h(z)C^{\gamma bb}(w) \sim C^{\gamma bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^y(z)C^{\gamma bb}(w) \sim C^{\beta bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &v^h(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) \sim -C^{\beta bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad v^x(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) \sim C^{\gamma bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &F(z)C^{\gamma bb}(w) \sim -2C^{\gamma bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) \sim -2C^{\beta bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &F(z)C^{bbb}(w) \sim -3C^{bbb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad F(z)\bar{G}(w) \sim -\bar{G}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &Q^{\gamma b}(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) \sim -3C^{bbb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad Q^{\beta b}(z)C^{\gamma bb}(w) \sim -3C^{bbb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &Q^{\beta c}(z)C^{\gamma bb}(w) \sim \bar{G}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad Q^{\gamma c}(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) \sim \bar{G}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \\ &\bar{G}(z)Q^{\beta b}(w) \sim C^{\beta bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \qquad \bar{G}(z)Q^{\gamma b}(w) \sim -C^{\gamma bb}(w)(z-w)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The transformations of the fields $C^{\gamma cc}, C^{\beta cc}, C^{ccc}, G$ under $V_3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&v^h(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) \sim C^{\gamma cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad v^y(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) \sim C^{\beta cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&v^h(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) \sim -C^{\beta cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad v^x(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) \sim C^{\gamma cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&F(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) \sim 2C^{\gamma cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad F(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) \sim 2C^{\beta cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&F(z)C^{ccc}(w) \sim 3C^{ccc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad F(z)G(w) \sim G(w)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&Q^{\gamma c}(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) \sim -3C^{ccc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad Q^{\beta c}(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) \sim -3C^{ccc}(w)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&Q^{\gamma b}(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) \sim G(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad Q^{\beta b}(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) \sim G(w)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&G(z)Q^{\beta c}(w) \sim C^{\beta cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}, \quad G(z)Q^{\gamma c}(w) \sim -C^{\gamma cc}(w)(z-w)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Finally, the operator products of the fields $C^{\gamma cc}, C^{\beta cc}, C^{ccc}, G$ with the $C^{\gamma bb}, C^{\beta bb}, C^{bbb}, \bar{G}$ can be expressed in terms of fields in the image of $V_3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{\gamma bb}(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) &\sim -2v^x(w)(z-w)^{-2}+\\
&\Big(:Q^{\gamma b}(w)Q^{\gamma c}(w): +2 :v^x(w)F(w): -2\partial v^x(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
C^{\beta bb}(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) &\sim 2v^y(w)(z-w)^{-2}+\\
&\Big(:Q^{\beta b}(w)Q^{\beta c}(w): -2 :v^y(w)F(w): +2\partial v^y(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
C^{\gamma bb}(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) &\sim -3(z-w)^{-3} + \big(v^h(w)+2F(w)\big)(z-w)^{-2}-\\
&\Big(:Q^{\beta b}(w)Q^{\gamma c}(w): + :v^h(w)F(w): +\frac{1}{2} :F(w)F(w): -\frac{1}{2}\partial F(w) - \partial v^h(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
C^{\gamma cc}(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) &\sim -3(z-w)^{-3} + \big(v^h(w)-2F(w)\big)(z-w)^{-2}-\\
&\Big(:Q^{\beta c}(w)Q^{\gamma b}(w): - :v^h(w)F(w): +\frac{1}{2} :F(w)F(w): +\frac{1}{2}\partial F(w) - \partial v^h(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{\gamma cc}(z)C^{bbb}(w) &\sim Q^{\gamma b}(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \big(:Q^{\gamma b}(w)F(w):\big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
C^{\beta cc}(z)C^{bbb}(w) &\sim -Q^{\beta b}(w)(z-w)^{-2} - \big(:Q^{\beta b}(w)F(w):\big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
C^{\gamma bb}(z)C^{ccc}(w) &\sim Q^{\gamma c}(w)(z-w)^{-2} - \big(:Q^{\gamma c}(w)F(w):\big)(z-w)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&C^{\beta bb}(z)C^{ccc}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta c}(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \big(:Q^{\beta c}(w)F(w):\big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&C^{ccc}(z)C^{bbb}(w) \sim -(z-w)^{-3} - F(w)(z-w)^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\Big(:F(w)F(w): +\partial F(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&G(z)C^{bbb}(w) \sim - :Q^{\gamma b}(w)Q^{\beta b}(w): (z-w)^{-1},\\
&G(z)C^{\gamma bb}(w) \sim Q^{\gamma b}(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \big(:v^hQ^{\gamma b}: -2 :v^xQ^{\beta b}: -\partial\gamma^{\gamma b}\big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&G(z)C^{\beta bb}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta b}(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \big(2 :v^yQ^{\gamma b}: + :v^hQ^{\beta b}: +\partial Q^{\beta b}\big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&\bar{G}(z)C^{ccc}(w) \sim :Q^{\beta c}(w)Q^{\gamma c}(w): (z-w)^{-1},\\
&\bar{G}(z)C^{\gamma cc}(w) \sim Q^{\gamma c}(z-w)^{-2} - \big(2 :v^x(w)Q^{\beta c}(w): -v^h(w)Q^{\gamma c}(w): +\partial Q^{\gamma c}(w)\big)(z-w)^{-1},\\
&\bar{G}(z)C^{\beta cc}(w) \sim -Q^{\beta c}(z-w)^{-2} + \big(2 :v^y(w)Q^{\gamma c}(w): +v^h(w)Q^{\beta c}(w): +\partial Q^{\beta c}(w)\big)(z-w)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(z)\bar{G}(w) \sim\ & 3(z-w)^{-3} + F(w)(z-w)^{-2} - 4 : v^x(w)v^y(w) : (z-w)^{-1} + \\
& \Big(: v^h(w)v^h(w) : + 2\partial v^h(w) + : Q^{\gamma b}(w)Q^{\beta c}(w) : \Big)(z-w)^{-1} + \\
& \Big(- : Q^{\beta b}(w)Q^{\gamma c}(w) : + \frac{1}{2} : F(w)F(w) : - \frac{1}{2}\partial F(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

5. THE $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$ STRUCTURE ON $\mathcal{A}$

Recall that the $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ structure on $\mathcal{A}$ extends to an affine Lie superalgebra structure $V_3(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2))$, where the generators are the quadratics $v^x, v^y, v^h, F, Q^{\beta b}, Q^{\beta c}, Q^{\gamma b}, Q^{\gamma c}$. Next, we give an induced module construction of four dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$. There is a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2) = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$, such that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the even subalgebra, while $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ are generated by $F^{\epsilon\pm}$. This decomposition reflects that as complex Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$. Let M_e be a one-dimensional representation of the even subalgebra, such that the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ acts trivially and the generator E acts by multiplication by the number e. Letting $\mathfrak{g}_+$ (respectively $\mathfrak{g}_-$) act trivially, M_e becomes a module of $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\pm$. We obtain a module of $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$ if $\mathfrak{g}_-$ (respectively $\mathfrak{g}_+$) acts freely. We call this module $M_e^\pm$. In summary

$$
M_e^\pm \ = \ \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\pm}^{\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)} M_e \,. \tag{5.1}
$$

We recall the definition of a primary field of an affine vertex superalgebra. Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ be a representation of a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $X(z)$ be the associated vertex operator of the affine vertex superalgebra and let $v \in V$, then the associated primary field $v(z)$ satisfies

$$
X(z)v(w) \ \sim \ \big(\rho(X)v\big)(w)(z-w)^{-1} \,. \tag{5.2}
$$

Theorem 5.1. *The generators $\bar{G}, C^{\gamma bb}, C^{\beta bb}, C^{bbb}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ are primaries of the representation M_{-1}^+ and $G, C^{\gamma cc}, C^{\beta cc}, C^{ccc}$ are primaries of the representation M_1^-. These representations are conjugate to each other.*

Proof. This is immediate from the operators product expansions in (4.13) and (4.14). ☐

6. THE ODAKE ALGEBRA STRUCTURE

Recall that $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ has a conformal vector $L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} = L_{\mathcal{W}} - \tau_{\mathcal{W}}(L_{Sug})$ of central charge zero, which is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} =\ & : \beta^h \partial \gamma^{h'} : + : \beta^x \partial \gamma^x : + : \beta^y \partial \gamma^{y'} : - : b^h \partial c^{h'} : - : b^x \partial c^x : - : b^y \partial c^{y'} : \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\big(: \Theta^x_{\mathcal{W}} \Theta^y_{\mathcal{W}} : + : \Theta^y_{\mathcal{W}} \Theta^x_{\mathcal{W}} : + \frac{1}{2} : \Theta^h_{\mathcal{W}} \Theta^h_{\mathcal{W}} : \big).
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, $L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}$ and hence can be realized as a normally ordered polynomial in the generators of $\mathcal{A}$ as follows:

$$
L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} = G \circ_0 \bar{G} + L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} \,,
$$

where $G \circ_0 \bar{G}$ is given by (4.15) and $L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}}$ is given by (4.11). Define

$$
L = G \circ_0 \bar{G} - \frac{1}{2}\partial F = L_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} - L_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}} - \frac{1}{2}\partial F. \tag{6.1}
$$

It is straightforward to check that L is a Virasoro element in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ with central charge 9, G and $\bar{G}$ are both primary of conformal weight $\frac{3}{2}$ with respect to L, and F is primary of weight 1. Moreover, (2.8) is satisfied, so $G, \bar{G}, F, L$ represent a copy of the $N = 2$ superconformal vertex algebra inside $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3)$. Finally, we define elements

$$\begin{aligned} &X = C^{ccc}, && \bar{X} = C^{bbb}, \\ &Y = \frac{1}{2}\bar{G}(0)(X) = \frac{1}{2} : Q^{\beta c} Q^{\gamma c} :, && \bar{Y} = \frac{1}{2} G(0)(\bar{X}) = -\frac{1}{2} : Q^{\gamma b} Q^{\beta b} : . \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

It is straightforward to check that $X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$ lie in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and are primary of conformal weights $\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 2$ with respect to L.

Lemma 6.1. *The fields $F, L, G, \bar{G}, X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$ satisfy the OPE relations of Odake's algebra $\mathcal{O}$, as well as the normally ordered polynomial relations* (2.12).

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation. ☐

Lemma 6.2. *We have an isomorphism of vertex algebras*

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3) \cong \mathit{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2), V_{-4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. Recall that $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ form a Howe pair inside $\mathcal{S}$, and that $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}$ is isomorphic to $V_{-4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3) &= \mathrm{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}, \mathcal{W}) = \mathrm{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \mathrm{Com}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}, \mathcal{W})) \\ &= \mathrm{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \Theta_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{Com}(V_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2), V_{-4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{E}). \end{aligned}$$

☐

For the sake of illustration, we rewrite the generators $L, G, \bar{G}, Y, \bar{Y}$ in terms of the generators X^x, X^y, X^h of $V_{-4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} L = &-\frac{1}{2} : X^x X^y : -\frac{1}{2} : X^y X^x : -\frac{1}{4} : X^h X^h : -\frac{1}{2} : X^x \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} : -\frac{1}{2} : X^y \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} : -\frac{1}{4} : X^h \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} : \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} : FF : + \partial F - 2L_{\mathcal{E}}, \\ G =&: X^x c^{x'} : + : X^y c^{y'} : + : X^h c^{h'} : + \frac{1}{2}(: \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} c^{x'} : + : \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} c^{y'} : + : \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} c^{h'} :), \\ \bar{G} = &-\frac{1}{2}(: X^x b^y : + : X^y b^x : + \frac{1}{2} : X^h b^h :) - \frac{1}{4}(: \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} b^y : + : \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} b^x : + \frac{1}{2} : \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} b^h :), \\ Y = &-\frac{1}{8}(: X^h c^{x'} c^{y'} : -2 : X^x c^{x'} c^{h'} : +2 : X^y c^{y'} c^{h'} :) - \\ &\frac{1}{16}(: \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} c^{x'} c^{y'} : -2 : \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} c^{x'} c^{h'} : +2 : \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} c^{y'} c^{h'} :), \\ \bar{Y} = &\frac{1}{2}(: X^h b^x b^y : + : X^x b^y b^h : - : X^y b^x b^h :) + \frac{1}{4}(: \Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}} b^x b^y : + : \Theta^x_{\mathcal{E}} b^y b^h : - : \Theta^y_{\mathcal{E}} b^x b^h :). \end{aligned}$$

For an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we may consider the commutant

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \mathrm{Com}(V_{k+4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{E}),$$

where the generators of $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $V_{k+4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are X^ξ and $X^\xi + \Theta^\xi_{\mathcal{E}}$, respectively, for $\xi = x, y, h$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3) \cong \mathcal{C}_{-4}$. This deformation of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ is a special case of a construction that is well known in the physics literature (see [BFH]), and we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{C}_k \cong \mathcal{E}^{SL_2}.$$

Moreover, there is a linear map $\mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{E}^{SL_2}$ defined as follows. Each element $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_k$ of weight d can be written uniquely in the form $\omega = \sum_{r=0}^d \omega_r$ where ω_r lies in the space

$$(V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{E})^{(r)} \tag{6.3}$$

spanned by terms of the form $\alpha \otimes \nu$ where $\alpha \in V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has weight r. Clearly $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{E}^{SL_2}$ so we have a well-defined linear map

$$\phi_k : \mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{E}^{SL_2}, \qquad \omega \mapsto \omega_0. \tag{6.4}$$

Note that ϕ_k is not a vertex algebra homomorphism for any k. It was shown in [CL] that ϕ_k is a linear isomorphism whenever $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a simple vertex algebra. The values of k for which $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is simple were determined by Kac-Gorelik in [GK], and in particular $V_{-4}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is simple. We conclude that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ has the same graded character as $\mathcal{E}^{SL_2}$.

We are now in a position to prove our main result.

Theorem 6.3. *$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ is strongly generated by the fields $F, L, G, \bar{G}, X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$, and is isomorphic to Odake's algebra $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a simple vertex algebra and these fields satisfy the OPE relations of $\mathcal{O}$, it suffices to show that the graded characters of $\mathcal{E}^{SL_2}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ coincide. First, note that $\mathcal{E}$ is triply graded by conformal weight and the eigenvalues of $F(0)$ and $\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}}(0)$, and

$$\mathrm{ch}[\mathcal{E}](z; w; q) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{E}}(q^{L(0)} z^{F(0)} w^{\Theta^h_{\mathcal{E}}(0)})$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}zw^2)(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z^{-1}w^2)(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}zw^{-2})(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z^{-1}w^{-2})(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z)(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z^{-1})$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(1+zq^{n-\frac{1}{2}})(1+z^{-1}q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})}{(1-q^n)^2} \sum_{m,s\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{m+s} w^{2(m-s)} q^{m^2/2+s^2/2}.$$

For the last equality, we used Jacobi's triple product formula. Then $\mathrm{ch}[\mathcal{E}^{SL_2}](z;q)$ is obtained from $\mathrm{ch}[\mathcal{E}](z;w;q)$ by taking the difference between the coefficients of w^0 and w^2, namely

$$\mathrm{ch}[\mathcal{E}^{SL_2}](z;q) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(1+zq^{n-\frac{1}{2}})(1+z^{-1}q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})}{(1-q^n)^2} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2} z^{2m} - q^{m^2+m+\frac{1}{2}} z^{2m+1} = \mathrm{ch}[\mathcal{O}](z;q).$$

□

Finally, combining Theorems 3.1, 4.1, and 6.3, we see that $V_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $\mathcal{O}$ form a Howe pair inside $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$.

7. THE CASE WHERE $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ AND V IS THE STANDARD MODULE

In this section, we consider $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ in the simplest case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and V is the standard module $\mathbb{C}^2$. In this case, a description of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ appears in [LSS].

Theorem 7.1. *Let V the standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

(1) $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} = Com(\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{S})$ is a rank one Heisenberg algebra with generator $:\beta^1\gamma^1: + :\beta^2\gamma^2:$.

(2) $\mathcal{E}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} = Com(\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}, E)$ is isomorphic to the irreducible quotient $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of the affine vertex algebra $V_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and has generators $:b^1c^1: + :b^2c^2:$, $:b^1b^2:$, and $:c^1c^2:$.

(3) $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} = Com(\Theta_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{W})$ is a homomorphic image of $V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2|1))$ with generators

$$\begin{aligned} &F =: \beta^1\gamma^1 : + : \beta^2\gamma^2 :, \\ &H =: b^1c^1 : + : b^2c^2 :, \quad E^+ =: b^1b^2 :, \quad E^- =: c^1c^2 :, \\ &Q_1^- =: \beta^1c^1 : + : \beta^2c^2 :, \quad Q_1^+ =: b^1\gamma^1 : + : b^2\gamma^2 :, \\ &Q_2^+ =: b^1\beta^2 : - : b^2\beta^1 :, \quad Q_2^- =: \gamma^1c^2 : - : \gamma^2c^1 : . \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

We now give an alternative realization of $V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2|1))$. For this, denote by $\epsilon_i^X, \epsilon_i^Y$ for $i = 1, 2$ a basis for rank four Clifford algebra. Denote by Y_i a boson with operator product

$$Y_i(z)Y_i(w) = \ln(z-w)$$

and by X_i another one with operator product

$$X_i(z)X_i(w) = -\ln(z-w).$$

Further let $\chi_i^\pm$ be symplectic fermions with operator product

$$\chi_i^+(z)\chi_i^-(w) = (z-w)^{-2}.$$

Then using bosonization [FMS], we get

$$\begin{aligned} b^i = \epsilon_i^Y : e^{Y_i} :, \quad c^i = \epsilon_i^Y : e^{-Y_i} :, \quad : b_ic_i := \partial Y_i \\ \beta^i = \epsilon_i^X : e^{X_i}\chi_i^+ :, \quad \gamma^i = \epsilon_i^X : e^{-X_i}\chi_i^- :, \quad : \beta_i\gamma_i := \partial X_i. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Define $Y_\pm = Y_1 \pm Y_2$, $X_\pm = X_1 \pm X_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}\chi^+ = \epsilon_2^X\epsilon_2^Y\epsilon_1^Y\epsilon_1^X : e^{\frac{1}{2}(Y_- - X_-)}\chi_2^+ : + : e^{\frac{1}{2}(-Y_- + X_-)}\chi_1^+ : \\ \sqrt{2}\chi^- = \epsilon_2^X\epsilon_2^Y\epsilon_1^Y\epsilon_1^X : e^{\frac{1}{2}(-Y_- + X_-)}\chi_2^- : + : e^{\frac{1}{2}(Y_- - X_-)}\chi_1^- : \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

The operator product of $\chi^\pm, Y_+$ and X_+ is

$$Y_+(z)Y_+(w) = 2\ln(z-w), \quad X_+(z)X_+(w) = -2\ln(z-w), \quad \chi^+(z)\chi^-(w) = (z-w)^{-2}. \tag{7.4}$$

We have

Lemma 7.2. *The generators of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ can be expressed in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} &F = \partial X_+, \\ &H = \partial Y_+, \quad E^+ = \epsilon_1^Y\epsilon_2^Y : e^{Y_+} :, \quad E^- = \epsilon_1^Y\epsilon_2^Y : e^{-Y_+} :, \\ &Q_1^- = \epsilon_1^Y\epsilon_1^X : e^{\frac{1}{2}(-Y_+ + X_+)}\chi^+ :, \quad Q_1^+ = \epsilon_1^Y\epsilon_1^X : e^{\frac{1}{2}(Y_+ - X_+)}\chi^- :, \\ &Q_2^+ = \epsilon_2^Y\epsilon_1^X : e^{\frac{1}{2}(Y_+ + X_+)}\chi^+ :, \quad Q_2^- = \epsilon_2^Y\epsilon_1^X : e^{\frac{1}{2}(-Y_+ - X_+)}\chi^- : . \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. Inserting (7.2) and (7.3) into (7.1). □

Theorem 7.3. *$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^2) \cong L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}_{3,-2}$, where $\mathcal{W}_{3,-2}$ denotes the Zamolodchikov $\mathcal{W}_3$-algebra with central charge $c = -2$.*

Proof. We denote the lattice vertex algebra whose generators are $\epsilon_1^X : e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}X_+} :$ by V_X. Let V_Y be the vertex algebra generated by $\epsilon_i^Y : e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}Y_+} :$ (for $i = 1, 2$) and call V_{SF} the symplectic fermion vertex algebra generated by $\chi^\pm$. Introduce a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $V_{\text{SF}} = \oplus V_n$ by saying that an element of the form $: \partial^{\alpha_1}\chi^+ \cdots \partial^{\alpha_r}\chi^+ \partial^{\beta_1}\chi^- \cdots \partial^{\beta_s}\chi^-$ has grade $r - s$. Clearly $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ is a subalgebra of $V_X \otimes V_Y \otimes V_{\text{SF}}$, and $\text{Com}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}, V_X \otimes V_Y \otimes V_{\text{SF}}) = V_Y \otimes V_{\text{SF}}$. Hence

$$\text{Com}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}, \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}) = \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} \cap (V_Y \otimes V_{\text{SF}}) = L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes (\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} \cap V_{\text{SF}}).$$

From the explicit form of the $Q_i^\pm$, only elements in V_0 are invariant under the Fourier mode $F(0)$ of F, hence $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} \cap V_{\text{SF}} \subset V_0$. We will show that all fields of the form $\partial^\alpha\chi^+\partial^\beta\chi^-$ are in $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathbb{C}^2) \cong L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes V_0. \tag{7.6}$$

For this, we introduce an ordering as follows $\partial^\alpha\chi^+\partial^\beta\chi^- > \partial^{\alpha'}\chi^+\partial^{\beta'}\chi^-$ if $\alpha+\beta > \alpha'+\beta'$ or if $\alpha+\beta = \alpha'+\beta'$ and $\alpha > \alpha'$. We prove the claim by induction on this ordering. Certainly $1 \in V_0 \cap \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$. Compute

$$: \partial^\alpha Q_1^- \partial^\beta Q_1^+ : = \, - : \partial^\alpha\chi^+\partial^\beta\chi^- : + V(\chi^\pm, F - H) \tag{7.7}$$

where $V(\chi^\pm, F-H)$ is a field expressed in terms of $F - H$ and its derivatives as well as fields of lower degree in V_0, hence by the induction hypothesis $V(\chi^\pm, F-H)$ in $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]}$ and hence the same is true for all fields of the form $\partial^\alpha\chi^+\partial^\beta\chi^-$. Furthermore, every field of V_0 can be written as a normally ordered polynomial in such fields. This completes the proof of (7.6).

Finally, note that V_0 is generated as a vertex algebra by the fields

$$L =: \chi^+\chi^- :, \qquad W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} : (\partial\chi^+)\chi^- : - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} : \chi^+\partial\chi^- :$$

of weights 2 and 3. It is not difficult to check that W is primary of weight 3 with respect to L, and satisfies the OPE

$$\begin{aligned} W(z)W(w) \sim & -\frac{2}{3}(z-w)^{-6} + 2L(w)(z-w)^{-4} + \partial L(w)(z-w)^{-3} \\ & + (\frac{8}{3} : L(w)L(w) : -\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 L(w))(z-w)^{-2} \\ & + (\frac{4}{3}\partial(: L(w)L(w) :) - \frac{1}{3}\partial^3 L(w))(z-w)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that V_0 coincides with the Zamolodchikov $\mathcal{W}_3$-algebra with $c = -2$ [Za]. $\square$

Remark 7.4. *A family of quasi-rational vertex algebras are the $\mathcal{W}(p, q)$ triplet theories for positive co-prime integers $p < q$* [Kau]. *These have central charge $c = 1 - 6(p-q)^2/(pq)$, and the algebra is strongly generated by the Virasoro field and three fields of conformal dimension $(2p-1)(2q-1)$. The algebra contains a singlet subalgebra with only one generator in addition to the Virasoro field. The simplest case is $\mathcal{W}(1, 2)$, which is known to be a subalgebra of symplectic fermions* [GKau]. *V_0 also coincides with the singlet subalgebra of the $\mathcal{W}(1, 2)$ triplet vertex algebra.*

Remark 7.5. *We have realized the singlet algebra at $c = -2$ as a commutant involving $V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2|1))$ and also $V_{-1/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. There are related observations, namely there are constructions* [R, CR] *relating the $\mathcal{W}(1,2)$ singlet and triplet algebra to $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ and $V_{-1/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Moreover $V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2|1))$ is a simple current extension of $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$* [CR].

REFERENCES

[AP] D. Adamovic and O. Perse, *The vertex algebra $M(1)^+$ and certain affine vertex algebras of level -1*, SIGMA 8 (2012), 040.

[BFH] J. de Boer, L. Feher, and A. Honecker, *A class of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras with infinitely generated classical limit*, Nucl. Phys. B420 (1994), 409-445.

[B] R. Borcherds, *Vertex operator algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the monster*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 83 (1986) 3068-3071.

[CL] T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, *The super $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra with integral central charge*, arXiv:1209.6032.

[CGL] T. Creutzig, P. Gao, and A. Linshaw, *A commutant realization of $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_n$ at critical level*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Notices, arXiv:1109.4065.

[CR] T. Creutzig, and D. Ridout, *Relating the Archetypes of Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory*, arXiv:1107.2135.

[FBZ] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 88, American Math. Soc., 2001. Phys. Lett. B 246 (1990), no. 1-2, 75–81.

[FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Semi-infinite Weil complex and the Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 137 (1991), 617-639.

[FS] B. Feigin and A. Semikhatov, *$\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_n$ algebras*, Nuclear Phys. B 698 (2004), no. 3, 409-449.

[FLM] I.B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[FMS] D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S. Shenker, *Conformal invariance, supersymmetry and string theory*, Nucl. Phys. B271 (1986) 93-165.

[FZ] I. B. Frenkel, and Y. C. Zhu, *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. Journal, Vol. 66, No. 1, (1992), 123-168.

[GKau] M. R. Gaberdiel, and H. G. Kausch, *A Local logarithmic conformal field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **538**, 631 (1999).

[GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent, and D. Olive, *Virasoro algebras and coset space models*, Phys. Lett B 152 (1985) 88-93.

[GK] M. Gorelik and V. Kac, *On simplicity of vacuum modules*, Adv. Math. 211 (2007) 621-677.

[K] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10. American Math. Soc., 1998.

[Kau] H. G. Kausch, *Extended conformal algebras generated by a multiplet of primary fields*, Phys. Lett. B **259**, 448, 1991.

[KP] V. Kac and D. Peterson, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms*, Adv. Math. 53 (1984) 125-264.

[KRW] V. Kac, S. Roan, and M. Wakimoto, *Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 241 (2003), no. 2-3, 307–342.

[LiI] H. Li, *Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 109 (1996), no. 2, 143–195.

[LiII] H. Li, *Vertex algebras and vertex Poisson algebras*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 6 (2004) 61-110.

[LL] B. Lian and A. Linshaw, *Howe pairs in the theory of vertex algebras*, J. Algebra 317, 111-152 (2007).

[LZ] B. Lian and G.J. Zuckerman, *Commutative quantum operator algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 100 (1995) no. 1-3, 117-139.

[L] A. Linshaw, *Chiral equivariant cohomology of a point: a first look*, Comm. Math. Phys. 306 (2011), 381-417.

[LSS] A. Linshaw, G. Schwarz, and B. Song, *Arc spaces and the vertex algebra commutant problem*, arXiv:1201.0161.

[OI] S. Odake, *Extension of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra and Calabi-Yau compactification*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, Vol. 4, No. 6 (1989) 557-568.

[OII] S. Odake, *Character Formulas Of An Extended Superconformal Algebra Relevant To String Compactification*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5** (1990) 897.

[R] D. Ridout, *sl(2)$_{-1/2}$ and the Triplet Model*, Nucl. Phys. B **835**, 314 (2010).

[Wa] W. Wang, *$W_{1+\infty}$ algebra, $\mathcal{W}_3$ algebra, and Friedan-Martinec-Shenker bosonization*, Commun. Math. Phys. 195 (1998), 95-111

[We] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, 1939.

[Za] A. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite additional symmetries in two-dimensional conformal field theory*, Theor. Math. Phys. 65 (1985) 1205-1213.

[Zh] Y. Zhu, *Modular invariants of characters of vertex operators*, J. Amer. Soc. 9 (1996) 237-302.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT AND
HAUSDORFF RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN BONN

E-mail address: `tcreutzig@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `linshaw@brandeis.edu`